Attorney Docket No. 1000409-000069

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Application of Suzuki

Application No.: 10/720,258

November 25, 2003

Filing Date:

Group Art Unit: 3634

Examiner: Gregory Strimbu

Confirmation No.: 4100

Title: ENTRAPMENT DETECTING DEVICE FOR OPENING-CLOSING MEMBER THAT INCLUDES STRAIN GAUGE

AMENDMENT/REPLY TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

	·				
Enc	losed is a reply for the above-identified patent application.				
	A Petition for Extension of Time is also enclosed.				
	Terminal Disclaimer(s) and the \$65.00 (2814) \$130.00 (1814) fee per Disclaimer due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) are also enclosed.				
Also enclosed is/are					
	Small entity status is hereby claimed.				
☐ Applicant(s) requests continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the ☐ \$395.00 (2801) ☐ \$790.00 (1801) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).					
	Applicant(s) requests that any previously unentered after final amendments <u>not</u> be entered. Continued examination is requested based on the enclosed documents identified above.				
	Applicant(s) previously submitted				
	on for which continued examination is requested.				
	Applicant(s) requests suspension of action by the Office until at least, which does not exceed three months from the filing of this RCE, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c). The required fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) is enclosed.				
	A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (1809/2809) is also enclosed.				

Attorney Docket No. 1000409-000069

Application No. __10/720,258

X	No additional	claim	fee is	required.	

	An additional	claim fee	e is require	ed, and is	calculated as	s shown below.
--	---------------	-----------	--------------	------------	---------------	----------------

AMENDED CLAIMS						
	No. of Claims	Highest No. of Claims Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Additional Fee	
Total Claims	16	MINUS 20 =	0	x \$50.00 (1202) =	\$ 0.00	
Independent Claims	2	MINUS 3 =	0	x \$200.00 (1201) =	\$ 0.00	
If Amendment adds m	nultiple depen	dent claims, add	\$360.00 (1203)			
Total Claim Amendme	\$ 0.00					
☐ Small Entity Status claimed - subtract 50% of Total Claim Amendment Fee					\$ 0.00	
TOTAL ADDITIONAL	\$ 0.00					

A check in the amount of	of	_ is enclosed for the fee due
Charge	to Deposit Acco	unt No. 02-4800.
Charge	to credit card.	Form PTO-2038 is attached.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: April 21, 2006

Registration No. 32,814

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Patent Application of

Shintaro Suzuki

Application No.: 10/720,258

Filed: November 25, 2003

For:

ENTRAPED DETECTING DEVICE

FOR OPENING-CLOSING

MEMBER

Group Art Unit: 3634

Examiner: Gregory Strimbu

Confirmation No.: 4100

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Official Action dated March 21, 2006, the following remarks are submitted.

The aforementioned Official Action indicates that the claims in this application are directed to two different inventions. As identified in the Official Action, the two inventions are as follows.

Group I invention defined in Claims 1-7 drawn to an entrapment detection device in combination with a vehicle body.

Group II invention defined in Claims 8-16 directed to an entrapment detection device.

Based on the observation that the two inventions are distinct from one another, a restriction requirement has been imposed requiring an election of one of the two inventions.

Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, the Group I invention recited in Claims 1-7.

Attorney's Docket No. <u>000409-069</u> Application No. <u>10/720,258</u>

Page 2

The election of the Group I invention is made with traverse because it is believed that all of the claims of this application can be examined at the same time without serious burden. While it is recognized that the two inventions may be separately classified, it is believed that the search required for the elected invention set forth in Claims 1-7 would likely extend into those areas where the non-elected invention would be searched. In addition, examining the claims directed to the non-elected invention in addition to those directed to the elected invention would not require consideration of an unduly burdensome number of additional claims.

In light of the foregoing, withdrawal of the restriction requirement, and examination of all of the claims of this application, including Claims 1-7 directed to the elected invention, are respectfully requested.

Should any questions arise in connection with this application, the undersigned respectfully requests that he be contacted at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC

Date: April 21, 2006

Matthew L. Schneider

Registration No. 32,814

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620